# Ector County Independent School District DAEP 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

| Comprehensive Needs Assessment  | 3  |
|---|----|
| Demographics  | 3  |
| Student Achievement   | 4  |
| Priority Problem Statements   | 6  |
| Board Goals   | 8  |
| Board Goal 1: Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas. | 9  |
| Board Goal 2: Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or above grade level will increase from 35% to 45% by May 2024.  | 29 |
| Board Goal 3: Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career, or Military Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.                                     | 35 |

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

# **Demographics**

#### **Demographics Summary**

| Year      | Total | LEP | Eco. Dis. | SPED | AA | Hispanic | 2 or More | White |
|-----------|-------|-----|-----------|------|----|----------|-----------|-------|
| 2021-2022 | 861   | 59  |           | 102  |    |          |           |       |
| 2020-21   | 242   | 14  | 213       | 33   | 21 | 176      | 3         | 45    |
| 2019-20   | 663   | NA  | NA        | NA   | 68 | 456      | 14        | 118   |
| 2018-19   | 659   | 32  | 425       | 34   | 58 | 467      | 7         | 121   |
| 2017-18   | 521   | 25  | 324       | 30   | 45 | 353      | 5         | 114   |
| 2016-17   | 505   | 27  | 304       | 39   | 43 | 377      | 3         | 82    |
| 2015-16   | 498   | 19  | 281       | 33   | 27 | 390      | 4         | 68    |
| 2014-15   | 407   | 13  | 245       | 27   | 31 | 302      | 5         | 68    |

**Secondary: Historical Data Student** 

2021-2022 was the first year after the COVID shut down where all students were required to attend in-class learning. Many students struggled with social interactions and the academic thinking levels necessary to master new knowledge and skills.

**Elementary: Historical Data Student** 

**DAEP Placements and Demographics (Actual Numbers)** 

#### Kindergarten-5th grade

| Year    | Total | EL | Eco. Dis. | SPED | AA | Hispanic | 2 or More | White |
|---------|-------|----|-----------|------|----|----------|-----------|-------|
| 2021-22 | 49    | 1  | 47        | 8    | 7  | 27       | 4         | 11    |
| 2020-21 | 20    | 1  | NA        | 5    | 5  | 8        | 0         | 7     |
| 2019-20 | 47    | 3  | NA        | 12   | 8  | 18       | 3         | 18    |
| 2018-19 | 52    | 2  | 35        | 8    | 5  | 25       | 3         | 11    |
| 2017-18 | 24    | 5  | 23        | 1    | 4  | 18       | 0         | 2     |
| 2016-17 | 34    | 4  | 28        | 6    | 5  | 20       | 1         | 7     |
| 2015-16 | 26    | 1  | 24        | 9    | 7  | 15       | 1         | 3     |
| 2014-15 | 70    | 10 | 54        | 7    | 7  | 44       | 1         | 18    |
| 2013-14 | 62    | 4  | 48        | 6    | 3  | 39       | 1         | 19    |

| 2021-22<br>Grade | <b>Total Placements</b> | Repeat<br>Placement | <b>Total Expulsions</b> |
|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Kinder           | 2                       | 1                   | 0                       |
| 1                | 5                       | 2                   | 0                       |
| 2                | 1                       | 0                   | 0                       |
| 3                | 3                       | 1                   | 0                       |
| 4                | 13                      | 3                   | 0                       |
| 5                | 25                      | 5                   | 0                       |
| 6                | 42                      | 4                   | 1                       |
| Total            | 91                      | 16                  | 1                       |

#### **Demographics Strengths**

High school students were successful in meeting academic levels with the small group instruction and support.

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause:** Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized):** DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause:** DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

# **Student Achievement**

# **Student Achievement Summary**

| STAAR 2022 Reading/ELA | Total<br>Students | Approaches | Meets  | Masters | Scale Score |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------|--------|---------|-------------|
| 4th                    | 1                 | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 1320        |
|                        | 1                 | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 1336        |
| 5th                    | 1                 | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 1360        |
|                        | 2                 | 2 100%     | 0%     | 0%      | 1470        |
|                        | 1                 | 100%       | 0%     | 0%      | 1470        |
|                        | 1                 | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 1360        |
| 6th                    | 18                | 3 22.22%   | 5.56%  | 5.56%   | 1433        |
| 7th                    | 4                 | 50%        | 25%    | 0%      | 1563        |
|                        | 8                 | 3 0%       | 0%     | 0%      | 1471        |
|                        | 12                | 2 16.67%   | 16.67% | 8.33%   | 1496        |
| 8th                    | 22                | 2 31.82%   | 27.27% | 4.55%   | 1570        |
|                        | 7                 | 42.86%     | 0%     | 0%      | 1540        |
|                        | 10                | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 1457        |
| Eng 1 EOC              | 2                 | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 3405        |
| Eng 2 EOC              | 1                 | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 3412        |

| STAAR 2022 Science     | <b>Total Students</b> | Approaches | Meets | Masters | Scale<br>Score |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------|---------|----------------|
| 5th                    | 1                     | 0%         | 6 0%  | 0%      | 3141           |
|                        | 2                     | 0%         | 0%    | 0%      | 3111           |
|                        | 1                     | 0%         | 0%    | 0%      | 3141           |
|                        | 1                     | 100%       | 0%    | 0%      | 3735           |
| 8th                    | 43                    | 11.63%     | 6.98% | 2.33%   | 3149           |
| STAAR EIC 2022 Biology | Total Students        | Approaches | Meets | Masters | cale<br>core   |
| 9th                    | 4                     | 25%        | 6 0%  | 0%      | 3368           |

|     | STAAR 2022<br>Mathematics | <b>Total Students</b> | Approaches | Meets | Masters | Scale Score |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------|---------|-------------|
| 4th |                           | 1                     | 0%         | 0%    | 0%      | 1437        |

| STAAR 2022<br>Mathematics | <b>Total Students</b> | Approaches | Meets  | Masters | Scale Score |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------|---------|-------------|
|                           | 1                     | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 1320        |
| 5th                       | 1                     | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 1415        |
|                           | 2                     | 50%        | 0%     | 0%      | 1526        |
|                           | 1                     | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 1342        |
|                           | 1                     | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 1415        |
| 6th                       | 18                    | 33.33%     | 11.11% | 5.56%   | 1504        |
| 7th                       | 9                     | 22.22%     | 0%     | 0%      | 1531        |
|                           | 14                    | 21.43%     | 0%     | 0%      | 1518        |
| 8th                       | 18                    | 16.67%     | 0%     | 0%      | 1509        |
|                           | 24                    | 12.50%     | 4.17%  | 0%      | 1520        |
| STAAR EOC 2022 Alg. 1     | Total Students        | Approaches | Meets  | Masters | Scale Score |
| 9th                       | 3                     | 0%         | 0%     | 0%      | 3298        |

#### **Student Achievement Strengths**

| STAAR 2022<br>Mathematics | Total Students Ap  | proaches    | Meets  | Masters | Scale Score |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------|---------|-------------|
| 6th                       | 18                 | 33.33%      | 11.11% | 5.56%   | 1504        |
| STAAR 2022<br>Reading/ELA | Total Students App | proaches Me | eets   | Masters | Scale Score |
| 6th                       | 18                 | 22.22%      | 5.56%  | 5.56%   | 1433        |
| STAAR 2022 Science        | Total Students App | proaches Me | eets   | Masters | Scale Score |
| 8th                       | 43                 | 11.63%      | 6.98%  | 2.33%   | 3149        |

#### **Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs**

**Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized):** Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause:** Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators.

**Root Cause 1**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 1 Areas**: Demographics

**Problem Statement 3**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another.

Root Cause 3: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Achievement

**Problem Statement 4**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support.

Root Cause 4: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Culture and Climate

**Problem Statement 5**: Inability to fill vacant positions with quality staff

**Root Cause 5**: The lack of quality and quantity of applicants.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

**Problem Statement 7**: AEC's current structure limits teachers' ability to target specific content areas by grade level.

Root Cause 7: AEC's shortage of teachers.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

**Problem Statement 8**: AEC student population need extra support both at home and school.

Root Cause 8: Students and parents lack access to the necessary resources and information located within our public education system.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Parent and Community Engagement

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates.

Root Cause 2: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

**Problem Statement 6**: AEC has a negative perception of having "bad kids", so it's a struggle to hire staff.

Root Cause 6: Lack of awareness and understanding of adverse childhood experiences.

**Problem Statement 6 Areas**: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

# **Board Goals**

**Board Goal 1:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 1: AEC student attendance will increase from 82% in 2021-22 to 87% in 2022-23.

**High Priority** 

**Indicators of Success:** 

Attendance - % of student daily attendance - 2024 Goal: 95%

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Attendance final year report for 2022-2023.

| Strategy 1 Details Reviews  |     |           | iews |     |
|---|-----|-----------|------|-----|
| Strategy 1: The attendance clerk will contact parents through School Status on 2nd absence. Communities in School |     | Summative |      |     |
| representatives will contact parents on the 3rd day to follow up on the student and provide necessary resources.  | Oct | Jan       | Mar  | May |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved attendance rate and Positive Family Rapport                           |     |           |      |     |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance Clerk, Communities in School Representative, and Principal           |     |           |      |     |
| Title I: 2.6  |     |           |      |     |
| - TEA Priorities:   |     |           |      |     |
| Improve low-performing schools  |     |           |      |     |
| - ESF Levers:   |     |           |      |     |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture                                  |     |           |      |     |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1  |     |           |      |     |

| Strategy 2 Details  |          |           | Reviews           |          |  |
|---|----------|-----------|-------------------|----------|--|
| Strategy 2: Campus administrators will monitor the weekly attendance percentage. Communication will be sent through |          | Summative |                   |          |  |
| School Status to inform parents of their student attendance rate and District Policy 90% Attendance requirements.   | Oct      | Jan       | Mar               | May      |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved attendance rate   |          | 1         | 1                 |          |  |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administrators   |          |           |                   |          |  |
| Title I:  |          |           |                   |          |  |
| 2.6   |          |           |                   |          |  |
| - TEA Priorities:   |          |           |                   |          |  |
| Improve low-performing schools  |          |           |                   |          |  |
| - ESF Levers:   |          |           |                   |          |  |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture                                    |          |           |                   |          |  |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1  |          |           |                   |          |  |
| Strategy 3 Details  |          | Re        | <u> </u><br>views |          |  |
| Strategy 3: Provide students attendance awards according to placement days.   |          | Formative |                   | Summativ |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Attendance Rate  | Oct      | Jan       | Mar               | May      |  |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, Attendance Clerks, and               | Oct      | Jan       | IVIAI             | Iviay    |  |
| Parents   |          |           |                   |          |  |
| Title I:  |          |           |                   |          |  |
| 2.4, 2.5, 2.6   |          |           |                   |          |  |
| - TEA Priorities:   |          |           |                   |          |  |
| Improve low-performing schools  |          |           |                   |          |  |
| - ESF Levers:   |          |           |                   |          |  |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture                                    |          |           |                   |          |  |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 1  |          |           |                   |          |  |
| No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify  | X Discor | ıtinue    |                   |          |  |

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

**Board Goal 1:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 2:** AEC's percentage of students who will meet or exceed the STAAR progress measure will go from 32% to 40% by May 2023.

**High Priority** 

**HB3 Board Goal** 

**Indicators of Success:** 

Growth (STAAR) - % of students who meet or exceed the STAAR progress measure - 2024 Goal: 75%

Evaluation Data Sources: Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Short Cycle Unit Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, STAAR/EOC

| Strategy 1 Details  |     | Reviews   |       |           |  |
|---|-----|-----------|-------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: Tightly implement K-12 instructional frameworks and use district-approved resources for ELAR, Math,           |     | Formative |       | Summative |  |
| Science, and Social Studies.  | Oct | Jan       | Mar   | May       |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier I instruction  |     |           |       |           |  |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and District Curriculum Coordinators                              |     |           |       |           |  |
| Title I:  |     |           |       |           |  |
| 2.4, 2.6  |     |           |       |           |  |
| - TEA Priorities:   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  |     |           |       |           |  |
| - ESF Levers:   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction  |     |           |       |           |  |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 1  |     |           |       |           |  |
|   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Strategy 2 Details  |     | Rev       | views |           |  |
| Strategy 2: Implement weekly schedules to facilitate data disaggregation and lesson planning to monitor student progress. |     | Formative |       | Summative |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Develop teachers' content knowledge and DDI process                                    | Oct | Jan       | Mar   | May       |  |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators                   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Title I:  |     |           |       |           |  |
| 2.5   |     |           |       |           |  |
| - TEA Priorities:   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools  |     |           |       |           |  |
| - ESF Levers:   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction  |     |           |       |           |  |
| Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1   |     |           |       |           |  |
|   |     |           |       |           |  |
|   |     |           | C     |           |  |

| Strategy 3 Details  | Reviews  |           |     |           |
|---|----------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Implement a master schedule designed to coordinate virtual tutoring, LLI reading groups, Istation, Imagine                      |          | Formative |     | Summative |
| Math, and Edgenuity for a student-centered intervention plan.   | Oct      | Jan       | Mar | May       |
| <b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and EOY STAAR |          |           |     |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  |          |           |     |           |
| Title I:  |          |           |     |           |
| 2.4, 2.5, 2.6   |          |           |     |           |
| - TEA Priorities:   |          |           |     |           |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  |          |           |     |           |
| -   |          |           |     |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Achievement 1 - School Culture and Climate 1   |          |           |     |           |
| No Progress Continue/Modify   | X Discon | tinue     |     |           |

# **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

# **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

#### Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 1: AEC's current structure limits teachers' ability to target specific content areas by grade level. Root Cause: AEC's shortage of teachers.

**Board Goal 1:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 3:** The percentage of 6th-grade testers achieving the meets on May STAAR will go from 30% to 35% in reading and 23% to 28% in math.

#### **High Priority**

**HB3 Board Goal** 

#### **Indicators of Success:**

6th grade reading or math on grade level - % of 6th grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in reading or math on STAAR - 2024 Goals: Reading - 37%, Math - 47%

Evaluation Data Sources: May Reading and Math STAAR

| Strategy 1 Details   | Reviews |           |     |           |
|--|---------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Utilize the DDI process to identify students who have significant learning gaps and develop student  |         | Formative |     | Summative |
| intervention plans.  | Oct     | Jan       | Mar | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic gaps and increase the passing rate of student assessments      |         |           |     | •         |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators,         |         |           |     |           |
| Title I:   |         |           |     |           |
| 2.4, 2.6   |         |           |     |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |         |           |     |           |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing |         |           |     |           |
| schools  |         |           |     |           |
| - ESF Levers:  |         |           |     |           |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning   |         |           |     |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Achievement 1  |         |           |     |           |
|  |         |           |     |           |

| Strategy 2 Details   | Reviews |                  |             |                  |  |
|--|---------|------------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| Strategy 2: Implement a master schedule designed to coordinate virtual tutoring, LLI reading groups, Istation, Imagine   |         | Formative        |             | Summative        |  |
| Math, and Edgenuity for a student-centered intervention plan.  | Oct     | Jan              | Mar         | May              |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and EOY STAAR   |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers   |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| Title I:   |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| - TEA Priorities:  |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools   |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Achievement 1 - School Culture and Climate 1  |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| Stratogy 3 Datails   |         | Dov              | iowe        |                  |  |
| Strategy 3 Details   |         |                  | iews        |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade   |         | Rev<br>Formative | iews        | Summative        |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.   | Oct     |                  | iews<br>Mar | Summative<br>May |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  Title I:   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  Title I:  2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities:   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  Title I:  2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities:  Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |

# **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

# **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

# **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

**Board Goal 1:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 4:** The percentage of 8th-grade testers achieving the meets on May STAAR will go from 36% to 41% in reading and 31% to 36% in math.

#### **High Priority**

**HB3 Board Goal** 

#### **Indicators of Success:**

8th grade reading or math on grade level - % of 8th grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in reading or math on STAAR - 2024 Goal: Reading - 55%, Math - 55%

Evaluation Data Sources: May Reading and Math STAAR

| Strategy 1 Details   | Reviews   |     |     |           |
|--|-----------|-----|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Utilize the DDI process to identify students who have significant learning gaps and develop student  | Formative |     |     | Summative |
| intervention plans.  | Oct       | Jan | Mar | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic gaps and increase the passing rate of student assessments      |           |     |     |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators,         |           |     |     |           |
| Title I:   |           |     |     |           |
| 2.4, 2.6   |           |     |     |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |           |     |     |           |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing |           |     |     |           |
| schools  |           |     |     |           |
| - ESF Levers:  |           |     |     |           |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning   |           |     |     |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Achievement 1  |           |     |     |           |
|  |           |     |     |           |

| Strategy 2 Details   | Reviews |                  |             |                  |  |
|--|---------|------------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| Strategy 2: Implement a master schedule designed to coordinate virtual tutoring, LLI reading groups, Istation, Imagine   |         | Formative        |             | Summative        |  |
| Math, and Edgenuity for a student-centered intervention plan.  | Oct     | Jan              | Mar         | May              |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and EOY STAAR   |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers   |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| Title I:   |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| - TEA Priorities:  |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools   |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Achievement 1 - School Culture and Climate 1  |         |                  |             |                  |  |
| Stratogy 3 Datails   |         | Dov              | iowe        |                  |  |
| Strategy 3 Details   |         |                  | iews        |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade   |         | Rev<br>Formative | iews        | Summative        |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.   | Oct     |                  | iews<br>Mar | Summative<br>May |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  Title I:   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  Title I:  2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities:   | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |
| Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  Title I:  2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities:  Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools | Oct     | Formative        | I           |                  |  |

# **Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:**

# **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

# **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

**Board Goal 1:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 5:** The percentage of English 1 and Algebra 1 testers achieving meets on STAAR EOC will go from 31% to 36% in English 1 and 27% to 32% in Algebra 1.

**High Priority** 

**HB3 Board Goal** 

**Indicators of Success:** 

English I and Algebra I college ready - % of English I and Algebra I testers achieving the meets or exceeds standard on STAAR EOC - 2024 Goal: Eng I - 50%, Alg I - 61%

**Evaluation Data Sources: EOC STAAR** 

| Strategy 1 Details   | Reviews |           |     |           |
|--|---------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Tightly implement K-12 instructional frameworks and use district-approved resources for ELAR, Math,          |         | Formative |     | Summative |
| Science, and Social Studies.   | Oct     | Jan       | Mar | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier I instruction   |         |           |     |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and District Curriculum Coordinators                             |         |           |     |           |
| Title I:   |         |           |     |           |
| 2.4, 2.6   |         |           |     |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |         |           |     |           |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools |         |           |     |           |
| - ESF Levers:  |         |           |     |           |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction   |         |           |     |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 1   |         |           |     |           |
|  |         |           |     |           |

| Strategy 2 Details  |     | Reviews   |      |           |  |
|---|-----|-----------|------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 2: Implement weekly schedules to facilitate data disaggregation and lesson planning to monitor student progress.   |     | Formative |      |           |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Develop teachers' content knowledge and DDI process                                      | Oct | Jan       | Mar  | May       |  |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators                     |     |           |      |           |  |
| Title I:  |     |           |      |           |  |
| 2.5   |     |           |      |           |  |
| - TEA Priorities:   |     |           |      |           |  |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools  |     |           |      |           |  |
| - ESF Levers:   |     |           |      |           |  |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction  |     |           |      |           |  |
| Problem Statements: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment 1   |     |           |      |           |  |
|   |     |           |      |           |  |
| Strategy 3 Details  |     | Rev       | iews |           |  |
| <b>Strategy 3:</b> Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade |     | Formative |      | Summative |  |
| level.  | Oct | Jan       | Mar  | May       |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  |     |           |      |           |  |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  |     |           |      |           |  |
|   |     |           |      |           |  |
| Title I:  |     |           |      |           |  |
| 2.4, 2.5, 2.6   |     |           |      |           |  |
| - TEA Priorities:   |     |           |      |           |  |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  |     |           |      |           |  |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Achievement 1   |     |           |      |           |  |
|   |     |           |      |           |  |

# **Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:**

## **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

# **Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment**

**Problem Statement 1**: AEC's current structure limits teachers' ability to target specific content areas by grade level. **Root Cause**: AEC's shortage of teachers.

**Board Goal 1:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 6:** Performance of the AEC economically disadvantaged student subgroup compared to their peers across the state of Texas will increase from 30% to 35% in math and reading growth.

#### **High Priority**

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Academic Gaps - The performance of ECISD student subgroups compared to their peers across the state of Texas (Domain 3) - 2024 Goal: 51%

Evaluation Data Sources: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and EOY STAAR

| Strategy 1 Details   | Reviews |           |     |           |
|--|---------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Utilize the DDI process to identify students who have significant learning gaps and develop student  |         | Formative |     | Summative |
| intervention plans.  | Oct     | Jan       | Mar | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic gaps and increase the passing rate of student assessments      |         |           |     | ·         |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators,         |         |           |     |           |
| Title I:   |         |           |     |           |
| 2.4, 2.6   |         |           |     |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |         |           |     |           |
| Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing |         |           |     |           |
| schools  |         |           |     |           |
| - ESF Levers:  |         |           |     |           |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning   |         |           |     |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Achievement 1  |         |           |     |           |
|  |         |           |     |           |

| Strategy 2 Details   |     | Rev       | iews  |           |
|--|-----|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Strategy 2: Implement a master schedule designed to coordinate virtual tutoring, LLI reading groups, Istation, Imagine               |     | Formative |       | Summative |
| Math, and Edgenuity for a student-centered intervention plan.  | Oct | Jan       | Mar   | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and EOY STAAR |     |           |       |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers   |     |           |       |           |
| Title I:   |     |           |       |           |
| 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  |     |           |       |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |     |           |       |           |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools   |     |           |       |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Achievement 1 - School Culture and Climate 1  Strategy 3 Details                        |     | Rev       | iews  |           |
| <b>Strategy 3:</b> Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade          |     | Formative |       | Summative |
| level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.                                | Oct | Jan       | Mar   | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth   |     | 9 44.12   | 11242 | 11243     |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers   |     |           |       |           |
| Start Responsible for Montoring. Campus Administrators and Teachers  |     |           |       |           |
| Title I:   |     |           |       |           |
| •  |     |           |       |           |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:   |     |           |       |           |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools                      |     |           |       |           |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:   |     |           |       |           |

# **Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:**

# **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

# **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

**Board Goal 1:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 7: 60% of AEC students end of year RIT scores will meet or exceed individual growth projections based on MAP.

#### **High Priority**

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%, Academic Gaps - The performance of ECISD student subgroups compared to their peers across the state of Texas (Domain 3) - 2024 Goal: 51%

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP Beginning of Year, Middle of Year, and End of Year

| Strategy 1 Details  |     | Reviews   |       |           |  |
|---|-----|-----------|-------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: Utilize the DDI process to disaggregate MAP data. Monitor Imagine Math and Istation student sessions to         |     | Formative |       | Summative |  |
| ensure students are mastering the specialized learning paths.   | Oct | Jan       | Mar   | May       |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in reading and math MAP   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  |     |           |       |           |  |
| Title I:  |     |           |       |           |  |
| 2.6   |     |           |       |           |  |
| - TEA Priorities:   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  |     |           |       |           |  |
| - ESF Levers:   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning  |     |           |       |           |  |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 1 - School Culture and Climate 1                                   |     |           |       |           |  |
|   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Strategy 2 Details  |     | Rev       | iews  |           |  |
| <b>Strategy 2:</b> Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade |     | Formative |       | Summative |  |
| level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.                       | Oct | Jan       | Mar   | May       |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth  |     |           | 1,141 | 1,1uy     |  |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers  |     |           |       |           |  |
| Title I:  |     |           |       |           |  |
| 2.4, 2.5, 2.6   |     |           |       |           |  |
| - TEA Priorities:   |     |           |       |           |  |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  |     |           |       |           |  |
| D 11 6/4 4 D 1: 1.2 6/4 4 A 1: 4.1  |     |           |       |           |  |
| <b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 1, 2 - Student Achievement 1  |     |           |       |           |  |

| Strategy 3 Details  | Reviews  |       |     |           |
|---|----------|-------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 3: Conduct 3 parent data meetings to discuss students' academic levels and share intervention plans. Title 1 | Formativ |       |     | Summative |
| resources will be used to provide families with items needed to help their students at home.                          | Oct      | Jan   | Mar | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent Awareness of Student's Progress Increase Positive Parent Rapport            |          |       |     |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Communities in School Representative               |          |       |     |           |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.6, 4.2 - TEA Priorities:  |          |       |     |           |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  |          |       |     |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Parent and Community Engagement 1   |          |       |     |           |
|   |          |       |     |           |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify  | X Discon | tinue |     |           |

#### **Performance Objective 7 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

# **Parent and Community Engagement**

**Problem Statement 1**: AEC student population need extra support both at home and school. **Root Cause**: Students and parents lack access to the necessary resources and information located within our public education system.

**Board Goal 1:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 8: AEC out-of-school removals under action code 21 will decrease from 115 students to 90 in 2022-23.

#### **High Priority**

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Attendance - % of student daily attendance - 2024 Goal: 95%, School Connectedness - The belief held by students that adults and peers in the school care about their learning as well as about them as individuals - 2024 Goal: 63%

**Evaluation Data Sources:** District Discipline Reports and Campus Referrals

| Strategy 1 Details   | Reviews |           |     |     |
|--|---------|-----------|-----|-----|
| Strategy 1: Utilize campus back to school and early release days to provide professional development to all staff members  |         | Summative |     |     |
| to identify child abuse, awareness of trauma, the effects of adverse childhood experiences, and strategies to support students and families to address the negative behavior.                                | Oct     | Jan       | Mar | May |
| <b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased ability for staff members to recognize and report abuse Unite staff to develop and implement campus processes that address adverse childhood experiences |         |           |     |     |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus-wide monitoring   |         |           |     |     |
| Title I:   |         |           |     |     |
| 2.6  |         |           |     |     |
| - TEA Priorities:  |         |           |     |     |
| Improve low-performing schools   |         |           |     |     |
| - ESF Levers:  |         |           |     |     |
| Lever 3: Positive School Culture   |         |           |     |     |
| <b>Problem Statements:</b> Student Achievement 1 - Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 2 - Parent and Community Engagement 1   |         |           |     |     |
|  |         |           |     |     |

| Strategy 2 Details   |           | Rev       | views |           |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Strategy 2: Student Assistance Services Counselor and Guidance Counselor will conduct individual and group counseling  |           | Formative |       | Summative |
| sessions to address negative behaviors, suicidal thoughts, and/or the social-emotional needs of students.  | Oct       | Jan       | Mar   | May       |
| <b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Reduced discipline referrals, refer students for additional medical support, and increased attendance.   |           |           |       |           |
| <b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Campus Administrators, Counselors, Communities in School Representatives  |           |           |       |           |
| Title I: 2.6   |           |           |       |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |           |           |       |           |
| Improve low-performing schools   |           |           |       |           |
| - ESF Levers:  |           |           |       |           |
| Lever 3: Positive School Culture  Problem Statement of Development in School Culture and Climate 1. Property and Community Forest and C |           |           |       |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - School Culture and Climate 1 - Parent and Community Engagement 1  |           |           |       |           |
| Strategy 3 Details   | Reviews   |           |       |           |
| Strategy 3: Collaborate with Ector County Youth Center to create a transition process where probation officers engage in   | Formative |           |       | Summative |
| monthly meetings with AEC instructional staff to monitor and review student academic levels and classroom behaviors.   | Oct       | Jan       | Mar   | May       |
| <b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Successful transition process that increases academic levels and promotes positive student behavior.   |           |           |       |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Counselors, and ECYC Leadership Team  |           |           |       |           |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:   |           |           |       |           |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture   |           |           |       |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 1   |           |           |       |           |
| Strategy 4 Details   | Reviews   |           |       |           |
| Strategy 4: Utilize monthly mental health and substance abuse topics to provide students and families with strategies and  |           | Formative |       | Summative |
| support for rehabilitation.  Stratogy's Expected Possilt/Impact: Decrease in drug placements and increase in family support  | Oct       | Jan       | Mar   | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in drug placements and increase in family support.   | 0%        |           |       |           |
| No Progress Continue/Modify  | X Discon  | ntinue    |       |           |

#### **Performance Objective 8 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

#### Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

**Problem Statement 2**: AEC has a negative perception of having "bad kids", so it's a struggle to hire staff. **Root Cause**: Lack of awareness and understanding of adverse childhood experiences.

### **Parent and Community Engagement**

**Problem Statement 1**: AEC student population need extra support both at home and school. **Root Cause**: Students and parents lack access to the necessary resources and information located within our public education system.

**Board Goal 2:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or above grade level will increase from 35% to 45% by May 2024.

**Performance Objective 1:** 50% or more of AEC Kindergarten- 2nd-grade students will read at or above grade level by May 2023.

**High Priority** 

**HB3 Board Goal** 

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%, Kindergarten Readiness - % of students meeting kindergarten readiness benchmark - 2024 Goal: 65%, Academic Gaps - The performance of ECISD student subgroups compared to their peers across the state of Texas (Domain 3) - 2024 Goal: 51%

Evaluation Data Sources: Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and LLI journal, Walk Throughs

| Strategy 1 Details  |     | Reviews   |     |           |
|---|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Implement the Science of Teaching Reading Academy framework and research-based strategies to develop  |     | Formative |     | Summative |
| literacy communities that enhance learning in all content areas.  | Oct | Jan       | Mar | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier I instruction  |     |           |     |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, District Reading Coordinators, and Teachers  |     |           |     |           |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 1 - School Culture and Climate 1 |     |           |     |           |

| Strategy 2 Details   |     | Rev       | views |           |  |
|--|-----|-----------|-------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 2: Utilize the DDI process to identify students' academic needs to develop intervention plans.  |     | Formative |       | Summative |  |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic gaps and increase the passing rate of student assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators,   | Oct | Jan       | Mar   | May       |  |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Achievement 1  |     |           |       |           |  |
| Strategy 3 Details   |     | Reviews   |       |           |  |
| Strategy 3: LLI intervention 30 minutes 4 times a week and Istation usage of 60 minutes a week will be utilized for the  |     | Formative |       | Summative |  |
| intervention of students not performing at grade level.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and LLI journal writing  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and LLI Interventionist  Title I:  2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities:  Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Achievement 1 | Oct | Jan       | Mar   | May       |  |
| Strategy 4 Details   |     | Reviews   |       |           |  |
| Strategy 4: Conduct 3 parent data meetings to discuss students' academic levels and share intervention plans. Title 1  |     | Formative | _     | Summative |  |
| resources will be used to provide families with items needed to help their students at home.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent Awareness of Student's Progress  | Oct | Jan       | Mar   | May       |  |

Increase Positive Parent Rapport

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Communities in School Representative

Title I:
2.4, 2.6, 4.2
- TEA Priorities:
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools

Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Parent and Community Engagement 1

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

# **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

# **Parent and Community Engagement**

**Problem Statement 1**: AEC student population need extra support both at home and school. **Root Cause**: Students and parents lack access to the necessary resources and information located within our public education system.

**Board Goal 2:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or above grade level will increase from 35% to 45% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 2: 50% or more of AEC 3rd-grade students will perform at the Meets level on STAAR 2023.

**High Priority** 

Evaluation Data Sources: Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and LLI journal

| Strategy 1 Details  |     | Reviews   |      |           |
|---|-----|-----------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Implement the Science of Teaching Reading Academy framework and research-based strategies to develop  |     | Formative |      | Summative |
| literacy communities that enhance learning in all content areas.  | Oct | Jan       | Mar  | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier I instruction  |     |           |      |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, District Reading Coordinators, and Teachers  |     |           |      |           |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 1 - School Culture and Climate 1 |     |           |      |           |
| Strategy 2 Details  |     | Rev       | iews | •         |
| Strategy 2: Utilize the DDI process to identify students' academic needs to develop intervention plans.   |     | Formative |      | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic gaps and increase the passing rate of student assessments   | Oct | Jan       | Mar  | May       |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators,  |     |           |      |           |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Problem Statements: Demographics 1, 2 - Student Achievement 1   |     |           |      |           |

| Strategy 3 Details   |     | Rev       | iews |           |
|--|-----|-----------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 3: LLI intervention 30 minutes 4 times a week and Istation usage of 60 minutes a week will be utilized for the  |     | Formative |      | Summative |
| intervention of students not performing at grade level.  | Oct | Jan       | Mar  | May       |
| <b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Student Progress is evident in the monthly Istation test and three times a year MAP assessments.   |     |           |      |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator, Teachers, and LLI Interventionist  |     |           |      |           |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Demographics 1 - School Culture and Climate 1 |     |           |      |           |
| Strategy 4 Details   |     | Rev       | iews |           |
| <b>Strategy 4:</b> Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd-grade students performing below grade level.  |     | Formative |      | Summative |
| <b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, Imagine Math Session Mastery, and EOY STAAR  | Oct | Jan       | Mar  | May       |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers   |     |           |      |           |
| Title I:   |     |           |      |           |
| 2.4, 2.6   |     |           |      |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |     |           |      |           |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools   |     |           |      |           |
| - ESF Levers:  |     |           |      |           |
| Lever 5: Effective Instruction   |     |           |      |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1   |     |           |      |           |
|  |     |           |      |           |

| Strategy 5 Details  |          |           |     |           |
|---|----------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 5: Conduct 3 parent data meetings to discuss students' academic levels and share intervention plans. Title 1 |          | Formative |     | Summative |
| resources will be used to provide families with items needed to help their students at home.                          | Oct      | Jan       | Mar | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent Awareness of Student's Progress Increase Positive Parent Rapport            |          |           |     |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Communities in School Representative               |          |           |     |           |
| Title I:  |          |           |     |           |
| 2.4, 2.6, 4.2   |          |           |     |           |
| - TEA Priorities:   |          |           |     |           |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  |          |           |     |           |
| <b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 1, 2 - Parent and Community Engagement 1                                      |          |           |     |           |
|   |          |           |     |           |
| No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify  | X Discon | tinue     |     |           |

#### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

# **Parent and Community Engagement**

**Problem Statement 1**: AEC student population need extra support both at home and school. **Root Cause**: Students and parents lack access to the necessary resources and information located within our public education system.

**Board Goal 3:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career, or Military Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 1: The number of AEC students that will meet at least one CCMR accountability indicator by May 2023 will increase by 5 students.

**High Priority** 

**HB3 Board Goal** 

**Indicators of Success:** 

College, Career, and Military Readiness - % of current seniors meeting at least one accountability indicator by the fall of their senior year - 2024 Goal: 27%

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Tracking Documents

| Strategy 1 Details   |     | Reviews   |     |           |
|--|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Campus Administrators and Counselors will pull and disaggregate data to identify students who have not met |     | Formative |     | Summative |
| CCMR accountability.   | Oct | Jan       | Mar | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:   |     |           |     |           |
| Increase campus CCMR accountability. Students will be prepared for post-secondary education.                           |     |           |     |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Counselors   |     |           |     |           |
| Title I:   |     |           |     |           |
| 2.6  |     |           |     |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |     |           |     |           |
| Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools  |     |           |     |           |
| - ESF Levers:  |     |           |     |           |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning   |     |           |     |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Achievement 1   |     |           |     |           |
|  |     |           |     |           |

| Strategy 2 Details   |     | Reviews   |      |           |
|--|-----|-----------|------|-----------|
| Strategy 2: Guidance Counselors will look at transcripts and courses to ensure students will meet CCMR Accountability.   |     | Formative |      | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase campus CCMR accountability. Students will be prepared for post-secondary education. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Counselors  Title I: | Oct | Jan       | Mar  | May       |
| 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Problem Statements: Demographics 2                  |     |           |      |           |
| Strategy 3 Details   |     | Rev       | iews |           |
| Strategy 3: Counselors will meet with students and create a plan to enroll in classes.   |     | Formative |      | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase campus CCMR accountability. Students will be prepared for post-secondary education. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Counselors           | Oct | Jan       | Mar  | May       |
| Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Problem Statements: Demographics 2         |     |           |      |           |

| Strategy 4 Details   |          | Rev       | iews     |           |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Strategy 4: Provide opportunities for Edgenuity credit recovery sessions during Saturday and after school hours.   |          | Formative |          | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student credits necessary for advancement.  | Oct      | Jan       | Mar      | May       |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, and Parents   |          |           |          |           |
| Title I:   |          |           |          |           |
| 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  |          |           |          |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |          |           |          |           |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:   |          |           |          |           |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction   |          |           |          |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Achievement 1 - School Culture and Climate 1  |          |           |          |           |
| Funding Sources: DAEP Counselor, Personalized learning materials, technology - Title One Homeless -  |          |           |          |           |
| \$35,000, DAEP Counselor, Personalized learning materials, technology - Title One School- Improvement -  |          |           |          |           |
| \$50,000, CIS, Supplemental learning materials and technology - State Comp Ed - \$200,000  |          |           |          |           |
| S and a second of the second o |          |           |          |           |
| Strategy 5 Details   |          | Rev       | iews     |           |
| Strategy 5: Conduct 3 parent data meetings to discuss students' academic levels and share intervention plans. Title 1  |          | Formative |          | Summative |
| resources will be used to provide families with items needed to help their students at home.   | Oct      | Jan       | Mar      | May       |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent Awareness of Student's Progress Increase Positive Parent Rapport   |          |           |          |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Communities in School Representative  |          |           |          |           |
| Title I:   |          |           |          |           |
| 2.4, 2.6, 4.2  |          |           |          |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |          |           |          |           |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools   |          |           |          |           |
| <b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 1, 2 - Parent and Community Engagement 1   |          |           |          |           |
| No Progress Continue/Modify  | X Discon | tinue     | <u>I</u> | 1         |

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

# **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

#### **Parent and Community Engagement**

**Problem Statement 1**: AEC student population need extra support both at home and school. **Root Cause**: Students and parents lack access to the necessary resources and information located within our public education system.

**Board Goal 3:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career, or Military Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.

**Performance Objective 2:** The number of AEC students that will graduate by Summer 2023 will increase by 5 students.

**High Priority** 

#### **Indicators of Success:**

4 Year Graduate Rate - % of students in grades 9-12 who graduate within four years of entering high school (longitudinal rate) - 90%

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Tracking Documents

| Strategy 1 Details   |     | Reviews   |     |           |
|--|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 1: Provide opportunities for Edgenuity credit recovery sessions during Saturday and after school hours. |     | Formative |     | Summative |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student credits necessary for graduation                          | Oct | Jan       | Mar | May       |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, and Parents                       |     |           |     |           |
| Title I:   |     |           |     |           |
| 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  |     |           |     |           |
| - TEA Priorities:  |     |           |     |           |
| Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools   |     |           |     |           |
| - ESF Levers:  |     |           |     |           |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction                                   |     |           |     |           |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - Student Achievement 1 - School Culture and Climate 1                        |     |           |     |           |
| Funding Sources: DAEP Counselor, Personalized learning materials, technology - Title One Homeless -              |     |           |     |           |
| \$35,000, DAEP Counselor, Personalized learning materials, technology - Title One School- Improvement -          |     |           |     |           |
| \$50,000, CIS, Supplemental learning materials and technology - State Comp Ed - \$200,000                        |     |           |     |           |
|  |     |           |     |           |

| Oct | Formative |           | Summative |
|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Oct |           |           | Summative |
|     | Jan       | Mar       | May       |
|     |           |           |           |
|     |           |           |           |
|     |           |           |           |
|     |           |           |           |
|     |           |           |           |
|     |           |           |           |
|     | Rev       | views     |           |
|     | Formative |           | Summative |
| Oct | Jan       | Mar       | May       |
|     |           |           |           |
|     |           |           |           |
|     |           |           |           |
|     |           |           |           |
|     |           |           |           |
|     |           |           |           |
| •   | Oct       | Formative |           |

# **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

# **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

#### **Parent and Community Engagement**

**Problem Statement 1**: AEC student population need extra support both at home and school. **Root Cause**: Students and parents lack access to the necessary resources and information located within our public education system.

**Board Goal 3:** Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career, or Military Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.

**Performance Objective 3:** AEC will increase school connectedness from 43% to 48%.

**High Priority** 

#### **Indicators of Success:**

School Connectedness - The belief held by students that adults and peers in the school care about their learning as well as about them as individuals - 2024 Goal: 63%

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Panorama Data

| Strategy 1 Details  |     | Reviews   |     |     |
|---|-----|-----------|-----|-----|
| Strategy 1: Implementation of SEL curriculum using the 7 Mindsets school-wide.        |     | Formative |     |     |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student emotional and academic education. | Oct | Jan       | Mar | May |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and Counselors     |     |           |     |     |
| Title I:  |     |           |     |     |
| 2.6   |     |           |     |     |
| - TEA Priorities:   |     |           |     |     |
| Improve low-performing schools  |     |           |     |     |
| - ESF Levers:   |     |           |     |     |
| Lever 3: Positive School Culture  |     |           |     |     |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 2 - School Culture and Climate 1                     |     |           |     |     |
|   |     |           |     |     |

| Strategy 2 Details   | Reviews   |           |     |           |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----|-----------|
| Strategy 2: Utilize campus back to school and early release days to provide professional development to all staff members  | Formative |           |     | Summative |
| to identify child abuse, awareness of trauma, the effects of adverse childhood experiences, and strategies to support students and families to address the negative behavior.  | Oct       | Jan       | Mar | May       |
| <b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased ability for staff members to recognize and report abuse Unite staff to develop and implement campus processes that address adverse childhood experiences                             |           |           |     |           |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus-wide monitoring   |           |           |     |           |
| Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: Student Achievement 1 - Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 2 - Parent and Community Engagement 1 |           |           |     |           |
| Strategy 3 Details   | Reviews   |           |     |           |
| ategy 3: Collaborate with Ector County Youth Center to create a transition process where probation officers engage in athly meetings with AEC instructional staff to monitor and review student academic levels and classroom behaviors. |           | Formative |     |           |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Successful transition process that increases academic levels and promotes positive student behavior.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Counselors, and ECYC Leadership Team   | Oct       | Jan       | Mar | May       |
| Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:  |           |           |     |           |
| Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:   |           |           |     |           |
| Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture <b>Problem Statements:</b> Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 1   |           |           |     |           |
| Froblem Statements. Demographics 1 - Student Achievement 1   |           |           |     |           |
| No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue  |           |           |     |           |

# **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

# **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 1**: DAEP students disconnect from academics because they feel they have already been labeled with behavior problems. It is challenging to build a positive relationship with their teachers and administrators. **Root Cause**: Lack of understanding of adverse childhood experiences and implementing strategies to support students and parents.

#### **Demographics**

**Problem Statement 2**: DAEP students are not graduating at the same rates as their peers. They have higher dropout and STAAR failure rates. **Root Cause**: DAEP students are removed from the traditional campuses for extended periods of time resulting in credit loss and academic gaps.

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 1**: Student academic performance and emotional stability decrease when students transition from one campus to another. **Root Cause**: Students are not familiar with the new learning environment and teacher expectations, so their academic performance and SEL decrease.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Perception of students assigned to DAEP is recognized as merely a punitive placement for behavior, not as a continuation of quality academic instruction with integrated behavior support. **Root Cause**: Students' lack of progress in the public education system causes continued failure and thus their perception of school.

# Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

**Problem Statement 2**: AEC has a negative perception of having "bad kids", so it's a struggle to hire staff. **Root Cause**: Lack of awareness and understanding of adverse childhood experiences.

## **Parent and Community Engagement**

**Problem Statement 1**: AEC student population need extra support both at home and school. **Root Cause**: Students and parents lack access to the necessary resources and information located within our public education system.